

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/822,083	04/08/2004	Mathieu Lion	25402-005	2211	
32137	7590 07/05/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	OCKET CLERK	NGUYEN,	NGUYEN, TUAN N		
,	IEBOWITZ & LATMAN UE OF THE AMERICA:	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK	K, NY 10036		3751	3751	
			DATE MAILED: 07/05/2000	DATE MAILED: 07/05/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				<u> </u>				
	Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		083	LION ET AL.					
		er	Art Unit					
		Nguyen	3751					
The MAILING DATE of this comm Period for Reply	nunication appears on tr	ie cover sheet wit	in the correspondence ac	idress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE - Extensions of time may be available under the provis after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this c - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for any reply received by the Office later than three mon earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(to the content of the con	E MAILING DATE OF T ions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e ommunication. In statutory period will apply and reply will, by statute, cause the apths after the mailing date of this communication.	HIS COMMUNIC vent, however, may a re will expire SIX (6) MONT oplication to become ABA	CATION. ply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this of the capacity of the capaci					
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s)	filed on <u>08 April 2004</u> .							
2a) This action is FINAL .	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.							
closed in accordance with the pra	actice under <i>Ex parte</i> Q	<i>uayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		•						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are pending in th	e application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s)i	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				•				
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to		auirement		•				
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.		•					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
The bath of declaration is objected	u to by the Examiner. N	ote the attached	Office Action of form P	10-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a cla		nder 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
<u> </u>	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
·	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
		·						
Attachment(s)		_						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review 	w (PTC-948)		ummary (PTO-413) //Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449		5) D Notice of In	formal Patent Application (PT	O-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	_	6)	<u>-</u> ·					

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: Species I: Fig. 2; Species II: Fig. 3; and Species III: Fig. 9. The species are independent or distinct because the reservoir handles are structurally different.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, at least claims 1 and 32 are considered generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

2. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

- 3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan N. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-4892. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (10:00-6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Justine R. Yu can be reached on (571) 272-4835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Tuan Nguyen

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3751

TN